Taking Stock

Q2, 2021



- Most asset prices rose during the quarter amidst continued economic reopening and extraordinary levels of policy accommodation.
- Bonds rallied during the quarter, reversing a portion of their losses from the previous quarter—one of the worst since the early 1980s.
- Although the labor market continues to improve, it still needs to make substantial process before policymakers achieve their goal of a return to pre-pandemic employment levels.
- The political willingness to continue unsustainable spending is being ratified by Fed policies and recent price action in the bond market.

Overview


Most asset classes generated attractive returns during the second quarter. U.S. equities increased 9% while bonds rose by 2%. Commodities increased 13%, leaving them up 21% for the first half of the year. The S&P 500 is now up 15% for the year while the Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index is down 2% so far this year.

Taking stock of the recovery, stock, bond, and real estate benchmarks are mostly at—or very close to—all-time highs, implying widespread investor optimism. This optimism has also extended to corporate earnings. Estimates for S&P 500 operating earnings in 2021 are currently \$188 per share—a 53% increase from 2020 and a new all-time high in earnings, eclipsing the \$157 per share mark set in 2019.¹ This would put the valuation of the S&P 500, based on a one-year forward price-to-earnings ratio, at 21 times, not far from the Tech Bubble extreme of 25 times.² Other markets are experiencing the same policy-driven boom. Residential real estate prices, for example, have exploded. Case-Shiller home price index has eclipsed its previous high, set in 2006, by 35%.²

Taking stock of the recovery, stock, bond, and real estate benchmarks are mostly at—or very close to—all-time highs, implying widespread investor optimism.

Key Markets At All-Time Highs

Growth of 100 for Select Indices, Jan-95 - Jun-21*



Despite the recovery of 16 million jobs in the U.S. labor market, 7 million fewer people are employed today than before the pandemic. While it may take years for the labor market to fully recover, the bright side is that according to the recent JOLTS report, current job openings are at record levels which appears to reflect a good opportunity set for job seekers.

These strong asset prices are occurring even while another, very visible measure of economic health, total payroll employment, remains sluggish. According to the Bureau of Labor Statistics, the U.S. had approximately 153 million people on nonfarm payrolls just before the pandemic.³ Even with the substantial recovery of 16 million jobs, 7 million fewer people are employed today. From here, it will take nearly two years to achieve the Federal Reserve's goal of full employment, assuming a pace of 350,000 additions per month. On the bright side, the jobs do appear there for the taking. The recent Job Openings and Labor Turnover Survey report shows current job openings moving parabolically higher to record levels.⁴ Even with the high number of available positions, filling these jobs will likely take time, as the reopening progresses further, workers relocate and are retrained, and pandemic assistance programs are discontinued.

Job Openings are at an All-Time HighNonfarm Job Openings (Seasonally Adjusted), *Jan-02 - May-21*



The labor market reflects the current economic situation, so it tends to trail asset prices, which are often leading indicators of economic weakness or strength. While we expect the labor market to lag behind asset prices in a recovery, it appears more disconnected than usual in this cycle. Much of this can be traced to the devastation left in the wake of the COVID-19 crisis and lockdowns. However, policymakers' swift and aggressive reaction to the crisis, especially the Federal Reserve's response, has significantly buoyed asset prices via low interest rates and widescale asset purchases (quantitative easing). In just over a year since COVID-19 hit the U.S., the Fed has slashed interest rates to zero and doubled its balance sheet to over \$8 trillion.⁵ From a fiscal perspective, the amount of total public debt has increased by over 20% to 127% of GDP.⁶

Not only does the U.S. stock market appear to favor these policies, the U.S. Treasury bond and foreign exchange markets—which dwarf the stock market in size and in economic importance—also appear to be ratifying policy decisions made so far. Indeed, support for these policies apparently extends even to assets that are widely seen as hedges against policy mistakes and the U.S. dollar, like gold and bitcoin, which declined on comments suggesting nothing more than a potential slowing of support more than a year from now. Taking stock of whether the levels of policy support can persist is critical in not only achieving the employment goals of policymakers but also for asset prices and whether these lofty levels can be maintained.



Policy

So far this year, the Biden Administration has announced intentions to pass two fiscal bills. The American Jobs Plan is an infrastructure spending bill that seeks to invest \$2 trillion over the next decade, with about 1% of GDP per year invested in infrastructure. President Biden also proposed the American Families Plan, which includes a \$1.8 trillion stimulus program. These proposals come after the passage of the \$1.9 trillion American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 earlier this year.

So far this year, the Biden Administration has announced intentions to pass the American Jobs Plan and the American Families Plan in addition to the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 which was passed earlier this year. There does not appear to be any impediments to more spending from Congress or the public according to polls.

As fall approaches, politicians will begin to weigh how these spending plans will affect their mid-term re-election chances in 2022. There does not appear to be any impediments to more spending if Congress myopically heeds the advice of political consultant James Carville and voters. Carville may be best known for being the lead strategist of Bill Clinton's successful 1992 presidential campaign. He was keenly aware that political wins were based on concepts such as "Talk about things that'll matter to the people, you know? It's the economy, stupid." his advice seems just as relevant 30 years later, as recent polls suggest that almost twice as many Americans support further spending plans, especially on infrastructure, than those who oppose them (see chart below). Further, the number of Americans who favor additional stimulus appears to have increased over the past year. In a recent poll by Morning Consult and Politico, 91% of respondents favored additional stimulus to help the economy "recover from the coronavirus pandemic." This is an increase from 74% in October 2020.11

Public Sentiment Shows Continued Support for Further Stimulus

		Favor Addi- tional Stim-	Oppose	No Opin- ion/ Neu-
Date	Poll Name	ulus (%)	(%)	tral (%)
3-May-2021	Morning Consult + Politico*	91	4	5
8-Mar-2021	Morning Consult + Politico	75	18	7
3-Mar-2021	Monmouth Poll**	81	14	5
1-Mar-2021	Pew Research	70	28	2
23-Feb-2021	Small Business for America's Future	76	24	0
19-Feb-2021	Morning Consult + Politico	76	17	7
3-Feb-2021	Quinnipiac Poll	78	18	4
1-Feb-2021	Yahoo News	74	13	13
22-Jan-2021	Reuters Poll of Economists	90	10	0
21-Dec-2020	Civic Science	78	16	6
1-Oct-2020	Civic Science	74	12	14
1-May-2020	Civic Science	59	20	21

^{*} Question referenced stimulating the economy to recover from coronavirus pandemic.

With the green light to spend, the Fed plays an important role in this political situation because it will need to monetize a significant portion of government spending—something it has so far appeared willing to do to sustain the pandemic recovery. At its most recent meeting in June, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) also endorsed further large spending bills by maintaining both the pace of its quantitative easing program, which purchases \$120 billion per month of Treasuries and agency mortgage-backed securities, as well as its the near zero-interest rate policy, until at least 2023. Yet recent inflation readings are rising. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the Consumer Price Index rose 4.9% for the year ending May 2021 Labor Statistics, the Consumer Increase since September 2008. The Fed believes these elevated readings will soon subside as they are partly the result of the anniversary effects of the significantly depressed prices from last year. But this explanation does not account for the increased prices in either commodities or housing, which are critical to economic recovery and are also front of mind for consumers, businesses, and investors.

At its most recent meeting in June, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) endorsed further large spending bills by maintaining both the pace of its quantitative easing program, which purchases \$120 billion per month of Treasuries and agency mortgage-backed securities, as well as its the near zero-interest rate policy, until at least 2023.

 $^{^{\}star\star}$ Question referenced stimulus checks at or above \$1,400.

The final piece of the accommodation puzzle, the bond market, is the most important. Another quote from James Carville comes to mind here: "I used to think if there was reincarnation, I wanted to come back as the president or the pope or a .400 baseball hitter. But now I want to come back as the bond market. You can intimidate everybody." ¹⁵ By late in the first quarter, the 10-year Treasury yield had increased substantially from 0.5% during the depths of the pandemic to 1.75%. On a price basis, longer term bonds declined by over 20%. Rising interest rates means bond prices moved lower—a signal from investors that they required a higher yield to purchase U.S. government debt. Higher yields would not only cause the government to spend more on interest payments but also cause a tightening of financial conditions that could disrupt the recovery. However, the 10 -Year Treasury yield has moved substantially lower in recent weeks to below 1.4%. This comes in the face of increased fiscal spending proposals and higher inflation readings, so for now the bond market appears willing to tolerate continued fiscal spending, debt monetization, and elevated inflation.

Markets

Declining interest rates likely influenced the relative outperformance of large cap growth stocks over large cap value stocks. That's because when the discount rate used in calculations falls, the present value of longer duration growth assets increases. The Russell 1000 Growth Index, a proxy for large growth companies, gained 12% during the quarter, leaving it up 13% for the year. The Russell 1000 Value Index gained 5% in the quarter and is up 17% so far in 2021. Over the past twelve months, the indices have produced nearly identical returns with value, up 44%, outperforming growth by 1%. Within smaller companies, value slightly outperformed growth during the quarter. The Russell 2000 Value Index gained almost 5% in the guarter and is now up 27% for the year. The Russell 2000 Growth Index was higher by 4% for the quarter, leaving it up 9% for the year. Over the past twelve months, the growth index's 51% return trails value by 22%. The interest-rate sensitive utilities sector was the only sector to produce negative returns during the guarter, down less than 1%, and has trailed for the year, returning just 2%. Top -performing sectors for the year include energy, up 46%; financials, up 26%; and real estate, up 23%. Energy and real estate companies alike have benefited from the continued economic re-opening while financials have benefited from the re-opening and steeper yield curve.

Stocks outside of the U.S. trailed their U.S. counterparts during the quarter and so far in 2021. The MSCI EAFE Index was higher by 5% in the quarter and is up 9% for the year in U.S. dollar terms. In local currency terms, developed market equities were up 5% in the quarter and up 13% for the year. Emerging markets in U.S. dollar terms also climbed 5% for the quarter and are now up nearly 8% for the year. Local currency returns have nearly matched on a quarter and year-to-date basis.

Municipal bonds, as measured by the Bloomberg Barclays Municipal 1-10 Year Bond Index, fared much better than investment-grade taxable bonds during the quarter as they gained 0.6%, leaving them up 0.4% for the year. The Bloomberg Barclays High Yield Index was able to absorb the rise in interest rates and post a gain of 2.7% and is now up 3.6% for the year.



June 2021 Key Market Total Returns



Source: Bloomberg

Looking Forward

The stock market appears to be comfortable with rising prices for now, especially considering that the rate of year-over-year change is expected to drop in coming months from its historically high reading in May. The bond market also appears content with the inflation picture, at least for now. That said, we are keenly interested in how the U.S. government bond market behaves in the second half of the year. This is because the U.S. Treasury is expected to issue substantially more bonds in the third quarter—\$821 billion, compared to \$281 billion in net issuance during the second quarter. In addition, through its quantitative easing program, the Fed purchased \$240 billion in U.S. Treasury bonds in the second quarter and is expected to purchase the same amount in the third quarter, implying a significantly reduced level of Fed absorption. As it stands currently, the bond market is playing the role of enabler, and, should that change, we may need to take stock of current positioning.



Performance Disclosures

All market pricing and performance data from Bloomberg, unless otherwise cited. Asset class and sector performance are gross of fees unless otherwise indicated.

Citations

- 1. S&P Global: https://www.spglobal.com/spdji/en/indices/equity/sp-500/#overview
- 2. Bloomberg
- 3. BLS: https://www.bls.gov/news.release/archives/empsit_03062020.pdf
- 4. BLS: https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/jolts.pdf
- 5. FRED: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/WALCL
- 6. FRED: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/GFDEGDQ188S
- White House: https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/03/31/fact-sheet-theamerican-jobs-plan/
- 8. White House: https://www.whitehouse.gov/briefing-room/statements-releases/2021/04/28/fact-sheet-the-american-families-plan/
- 9. Congress: https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/1319/text
- 10. Harvard Politics: https://harvardpolitics.com/its-the-economy-stupid/
- 11. Morning Consult + Politico: https://assets.morningconsult.com/wp-uploads/2021/05/19073309/210579_crosstabs_POLITICO_RVs_v1_SH.pdf
- 12. U.S. Federal Reserve: https://www.federalreserve.gov/monetarypolicy/files/monetary20210616a1.pdf
- 13. FRED: https://fred.stlouisfed.org/series/CPIAUCSL
- 14. BLS: https://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/cpi.pdf
- 15. Bloomberg: https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2018-01-29/the-daily-prophet-carville-was-right-about-the-bond-market-jd0q9r1w



DISCLAIMER

Magnus Financial Group LLC ("Magnus") did not produce and bears no responsibility for any part of this report whatsoever, including but not limited to any macroeconomic views, inaccuracies or any errors or omissions. Research and data used in the presentation have come from third-party sources that Magnus has not independently verified presentation and the opinions expressed are not by Magnus or its employees and are current only as of the time made and are subject to change without notice.

This report may include estimates, projections or other forward-looking statements, however, due to numerous factors, actual events may differ substantially from those presented. The graphs and tables making up this report have been based on unaudited, third-party data and performance information provided to us by one or more commercial databases. Except for the historical information contained in this report, certain matters are forward-looking statements or projections that are dependent upon risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to factors and considerations such as general market volatility, global economic risk, geopolitical risk, currency risk and other country-specific factors, fiscal and monetary policy, the level of interest rates, security-specific risks, and historical market segment or sector performance relationships as they relate to the business and economic cycle.

Additionally, please be aware that past performance is not a guide to the future performance of any manager or strategy, and that the performance results and historical information provided displayed herein may have been adversely or favorably impacted by events and economic conditions that will not prevail in the future. Therefore, it should not be inferred that these results are indicative of the future performance of any strategy, index, fund, manager or group of managers. Index benchmarks contained in this report are provided so that performance can be compared with the performance of well-known and widely recognized indices. Index results assume the re-investment of all dividends and interest.

The information provided is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, investment, legal or tax advice nor should such information contained herein be construed as a recommendation or advice to purchase or sell any security, investment, or portfolio allocation. An investor should consult with their financial advisor to determine the appropriate investment strategies and investment vehicles. Investment decisions should be made based on the investor's specific financial needs and objectives, goals, time horizon and risk tolerance. This presentation makes no implied or express recommendations concerning the way any client's accounts should or would be handled, as appropriate investment decisions depend upon the client's specific investment objectives.

Investment advisory services offered through Magnus; securities offered through third party custodial relationships. More information about Magnus can be found on its Form ADV at www.adviserinfo.sec.gov.

TERMS OF USE

This report is intended solely for the use of its recipient. There is a fee associated with the access to this report and the information and materials presented herein. Re-distribution or republication of this report and its contents are prohibited. Expert use is implied.

DEFINITIONS

Asset class performance was measured using the following benchmarks: U.S. Large Cap Stocks: S&P 500 TR Index; U.S. Small & Micro Cap: Russell 2000 TR Index; Intl Dev Large Cap Stocks: MSCI EAFE GR Index; Emerging & Frontier Market Stocks: MSCI Emerging Markets GR Index; U.S. Intermediate-Term Muni Bonds: Bloomberg Barclays 1-10 (1-12 Yr) Muni Bond TR Index; U.S. Intermediate-Term Bonds: Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond TR Index; U.S. High Yield Bonds: Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield TR Index; U.S. Bank Loans: S&P/LSTA U.S. Leveraged Loan Index; Intl Developed Bonds: Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate ex-U.S. Index; Emerging & Frontier Market Bonds: JPMorgan EMBI Global Diversified TR Index; U.S. REITs: MSCI U.S. REIT GR Index, Ex U.S. Real Estate Securities: S&P Global Ex-U.S. Property TR Index; Commodity Futures: Bloomberg Commodity TR Index; Midstream Energy: Alerian MLP TR Index; Gold: LBMA Gold Price, U.S. 60/40: 60% S&P 500 TR Index; 40% Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond TR Index; Global 60/40: 60% MSCI ACWI GR Index; 40% Bloomberg Barclays Global Aggregate Bond TR Index.

About Magnus

Magnus Financial Group LLC is an SEC-registered, independent investment advisory firm located in New York City. Magnus provides customized wealth management and financial planning services for clients in all phases of their lives. As an independent RIA, Magnus provides high-quality service with a personalized client approach. Magnus was founded in 2017 and consists of approximately 14 staff professionals including four wealth advisors, investment operations, compliance and marketing, research and trading personnel, client service members and administrative support.

MAGNUS FINANCIAL GROUP

90 Park Avenue, Suite 1800 New York, NY 10016

(800) 339-1367

Learn more: Visit magnusfinancial.com

service@magnusfinancial.com